NEOTROPICAL BIOLOGY AND CONSERVATION

Neotropical Biology and Conservation 18(4): 259–260 (2023)
DOI: 10.3897/neotropical.18.e113743

Corrigendum

Corrigendum: Witt PBR, Faria HH, Oliveira J, Oliveira LR (2023) Management effectiveness of Nature Conservation Units in southern Brazil. Neotropical Biology and Conservation 18(3): 209–230. doi:10.3897/neotropical.18.e103019

Patrícia Bernardes Rodrigues Witt[1,2], Helder Henrique de Faria[3], Juliano de Oliveira[4], Larissa Rosa de Oliveira[1,5]

1 *Laboratório de Ecologia de Mamíferos, Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos (UNISINOS), Avenida Unisinos, 950-São Leopoldo, RS, Brazil*
2 *Divisão de Pesquisa e Manutenção de Coleções Cientificas, Departamento de Biodiversidade, Secretaria Estadual do Meio Ambiente e Infraestrutura do RS, Avenida Borges de Medeiros 7°andar, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil*
3 *Instituto de Pesquisas Ambientais, Departamento Técnico-Científico/Centro de Pesquisas, Núcleo de Conservação e Biodiversidade, Secretaria de Meio Ambiente, Infraestrutura e logística de São Paulo, Avenida Professor Frederico Hermann Júnior, 345, Alto dos Pinheiros, São Paulo, SP, Brazil*
4 *Laboratório de Ecologia Vegetal, Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos (UNISINOS), Avenida Unisinos, 950 – São Leopoldo, RS, Brazil*
5 *Grupo de Estudos de Mamíferos Aquáticos do Rio Grande do Sul, Torres, Brazil*

Corresponding author: Patrícia Bernardes Rodrigues Witt (patriciawittbiologa@gmail.com)

OPEN ACCESS

Academic editor: Piter Boll
Received: 6 October 2023
Accepted: 6 October 2023
Published: 18 October 2023

ZooBank: https://zoobank.org/9FCC0098-558D-41A3-8E27-98D92544E26B

Citation: Witt PBR, Faria HH, Oliveira J, Oliveira LR (2023) Corrigendum: Witt PBR, Faria HH, Oliveira J, Oliveira LR (2023) Management effectiveness of Nature Conservation Units in southern Brazil. Neotropical Biology and Conservation 18(3): 209–230. doi:10.3897/neotropical.18.e103019. Neotropical Biology and Conservation 18: 259–260. https://doi.org/10.3897/neotropical.18.e113743

After the publication of our recent article (Witt et al. 2023), we noticed that the distribution map of the conservation units in that study (Fig. 1 on page 214) had an error in its caption. The caption should read:

Figure 1. Distribution by Biome of the 11 Conservation Units (CUs) surveyed in Rio Grande do Sul State, Southern Brazil. 1. Espinilho State Park; 2. Turvo State Park; 3. Espigão Alto State Park; 4. Ibitiriá State Park; 5. Tainhas State Park; 6. Aratinga Ecologial Station; 7. Serra Geral State Park; 8. Itapeva State Park; 9. Banhado dos Pachecos Wildlife Refuge; 10. Delta do Jacuí State Park; 11. Itapuã State Park.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

No funding was reported.

Author contributions

All authors have contributed equally.

Author ORCIDs

Patrícia Bernardes Rodrigues Witt https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9222-5968
Juliano de Oliveira https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2834-852X
Larissa Rosa de Oliveira https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5735-3697

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text.

References

Witt PBR, Faria HH, Oliveira J, Oliveira LR (2023) Management effectiveness of Nature Conservation Units in southern Brazil. In: Boll P, Lehmann AP, Allgayer H, Krüger L (Eds) Diversity and Wildlife Management: The legacy of PPG Biologia Unisinos. Neotropical Biology and Conservation 18(3): 209–230. https://doi.org/10.3897/neotropical.18.e103019.suppl2